



Soil Erosion

Sheet and Rill Erosion

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The water erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No 🗌
Wind Erosion		
Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $> 90\%$ and slope $< 10\%$. Assessment level: The wind erosion rate is $<= T$.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No
Classic Gully Erosion		
Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Classic gullies are not present. Assessment level: Classic gully management is adequate to stop the progression of head cutting and widening and are offsite impacts are minimized by vegetation and/or structures.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
All temporary or permanent rills and gullies are stabilized. All areas expected to have high erosion rates are stable.	Yes	No
Soil erosion in areas integrated with trees is controlled. There are no impacts on sensitive vegetation. There are no occurrences or enlargement of gullies.	Yes	No





Water Quality Degradation

Excessive Sediment in Surface Water

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Permanent ground cover $>$ 90% and slope $<$ 10% AND classic gullies are not present AND streams or shoreline are not on or adjacent to site. Assessment level: Upslope treatment and buffer practices address concentrated flows to water bodies AND the SVAP2 - bank condition $>=$ 5 AND the livestock and vehicle water crossings are stable AND The water erosion rate is $<=$ T AND wind erosion rate is $<=$ T.	Yes No	
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
The land adjacent to a stream, river, or other waterbody on the side or sides you control does: - have diverse, natural plant cover typical to that along streams in your area, - extend from the stream bank/shoreline for a distance of 35 feet or (if applicable) the minimum	Yes No	
State buffer-width requirement, whichever is greater, AND - have few places where concentrated runoff flows through.		





Air Quality Impacts

Emissions of Particulate Matter (PM) and PM Precursors

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Activities are not present that contribute to agricultural source PM or PM precursor emissions AND episodes or complaints of emissions of PM (dust, smoke, exhaust, etc.), or chemical drift have not occurred. PM producing activity examples are: Prescribed Burn is conducted, Travel ways unpaved or treated with binding agents, Engines (combustion source), Tillage, Pesticides are applied, Fertilization (manure/ commercial), CAFO/manure management). Assessment level: PM and PM Precursor emmissions are managed to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation T	Test Met
Dust is controlled on all non-vegetated, unpaved travel ways.	Yes	No





Degraded Plant Condition

Inadequate Structure and Composition

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Screening level: Plant communities support the intended land use and desired ecological functions. Assessment level: Plant communities contain adequate diversity, composition and structure to support desired ecological functions.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
The current plants provide the desired habitat structure and composition.	Yes	No 🗌





Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Habitat

Inadequate Habitat - Water

Planning Criteria	Planning Criteria Met	
Assessment level: The WHSI rating is $>= 0.5$ AND (when surface stream present) the SVAP2 - aquatic invertebrate habitat element score is $>= 7$, OR conservation practices and managements are in place that meet or exceed species or guild-specific habitat model thresholds, OR water is available in quality and extent to support habitat requirements for the species of interest.	Yes	No
Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Test Met	
Access to water is at the right height, depth and time of year for wildlife species.	Yes	No 🗌





Inefficient Energy Use

Equipment and Facilities

	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	ria Met
	Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Tes	st Met
	Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No 🗌
<u>Fa</u>	rming/Ranching Practices and Field Operations		
	Planning Criteria	Planning Crite	ria Met
	Screening level: Client is not interested in improving equipment and facilities energy efficiency. Assessment level: Major components of a USDA approved energy audit have been implemented that address equipment and facilities to meet client objectives OR On-farm renewable energy and/or energy conserving practices have been implemented to meet client objectives.	Yes	No
	Evaluation Tests	Evaluation Tes	st Met
	Recommendations/components of an energy audit have been applied. The audit addressed equipment and facilities on the farm. For example, energy loss from lighting, drying, refrigeration, heating, or building insulation have been improved.	Yes	No